For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	EOD THE MODTHEDM DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	POST APPLE, LLC, No. C 11-05042 WHA
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. SECOND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
13	QUEDELLIS WALKER,
14	Defendant.
15	
16	Defendant Quedellis Walker was ordered to show cause by December 12, 2011, why the
17	motion to remand should not be decided on the moving papers in light of defendant's failure to
18	submit a timely opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion. Defendant is hereby
19	ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why the action should not be remanded. If no response is filed or if
20	good cause is not shown, the motion will be decided on the papers. Defendant must file a written
21	response to this order by JANUARY 3, 2012.
22	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	Dated: December 19, 2011
25	Dated: December 19, 2011. WILLIAM ALSUP
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27	
28	